	Case 1:96-cv-05879-LJO-DLB Document 47	77 Filed 04/08/11 Page 1 of 4		
1	Stephen McKae (Bar No. 66797)			
2	smckae@wendel.com Greggory C. Brandt (Bar No. 189487)			
3	gbrandt@wendel.com WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP			
4	1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, California 94607			
5	Telephone: (510) 834-6600 Fax: (510) 834-1928			
6	Attorneys for Defendant, Cross-Plaintiff and Cross-			
7	Defendant THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY			
8	Scott Perlman (Bar No. 128124) LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT PERLMAN			
9	2204 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301			
10	Telephone: (661) 328-1986 Fax: (661) 328-1991			
11	Attorneys for Defendant, Counterclaimants, Third-			
12	Party Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant MARILYN M. DIETZEL, AS SUCCESSOR TO			
13	THE ESTATE OF FRED R. BRYANT			
14				
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16 17	FOR THE EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18		No. 1:96-cv-05879 OWW/ DLB		
19	ATCHISON TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY,	(Consolidated With 1:98-cv-05050 OWW)		
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR MUTUAL DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS		
21	V.	Trial Date: None		
22				
23	HERCULES INCORPORATED et. al.,			
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	HERCULES INCORPORATED et. al.,  Defendants.			
	Defendants.	Morilyn M. Diotzal ("Morilyn Diotzal") og		
24	The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow") and	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow") and successor to the Estate of Fred R. Bryant, collective	,		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Defendants.  The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow") and successor to the Estate of Fred R. Bryant, collective stipulate and agree as follows:	,		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow") and successor to the Estate of Fred R. Bryant, collective	vely referred to herein as the "Parties," hereby		

- A. This action was initiated as *Atchison Topeka*, *et al v. Brown & Bryant Inc*, *et al.*, No. 1:92-cv-05068-OWW-DLB, filed February 5, 1992. Initially brought as a cost recovery action under CERCLA in connection with the environmental cleanup of the Brown & Bryant site in Arvin, California ("the Arvin Site"), the action was expanded under a Sixth Counterclaim by Brown & Bryant, Inc., Fred R. Bryant and others filed March 5, 1993 to include cost recovery claims in connection with the environmental cleanup of the Brown & Bryant site in Shafter, California ("the Shafter Site").
- B. On March 7, 1996, Dow filed a third-party complaint against the Estate of Fred R. Bryant, and by order dated August 21, 1996, Marilyn Dietzel was substituted as successor of the estate. On November 8, 1996, Marilyn Dietzel filed a counterclaim for cost recovery, indemnity and contribution against Dow, Shell Oil Company and Hercules, Inc.
- C. At a scheduling conference on May 5, 1997, all claims relating to the Arvin site were ordered to be coordinated under docket number CV-F-92-5068. Claims relating to the Shafter site were ordered to be coordinated under docket number CV-F-96-5879.
- D. The claims related to the Arvin Site were tried from March through September 1999, and judgment was entered by the district court on September 10, 2003. The judgment was appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (*U.S. v. Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Co.* (9th Cir. 2008) 520 F.3d 918), and the U.S. Supreme Court (*Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Co. v. U.S.* (2009) 129 S.Ct. 1870). On May 4, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Co. v. U.S.* (2009) 129 S.Ct. 1870. Among other things, the Supreme Court made rulings with regard to the scope of "arranger" liability under CERCLA that has resulted in mutual dismissals of claims between Dow and four other parties, Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Company ("BNSF"), Shell Chemical Company, Shell Oil Company and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"). No claims are pending between Dow and Hercules, Inc. Dow has also been removed as a respondent under the Imminent & Substantial Endangerment Order issued by DTSC on July 23, 1993.

- E. By order filed July 26, 2010, the Court, acting on the motions of Dow and BNSF, adopted the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations submitted on February 17, 1999 and issued terminating sanctions against Brown & Bryant, Inc., John H. Brown, an Individual, and Ed A. Brown, an Individual (the "Brown & Bryant Parties"). The Brown & Bryant Parties' answers to Dow's counterclaim (including answers of Brown & Bryant, Inc., John H. Brown, and Ed A. Brown to Dow's counterclaim) were stricken, and default judgment was entered against the Brown & Bryant Parties as to all claims of Dow against them in this case, as set forth in Dow's counterclaim for cost recovery and contribution filed March 7, 1996. The order also specified that Dow shall recover its costs of suit against the Brown & Bryant Parties, which is the subject of a pending motion under Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 55(b).
- F. The Parties have determined that it is in their respective interests to bring resolution to the claims between them in this action without the expense of further litigation. Accordingly, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree to the following:
- 1. Dow's claims against Marilyn Dietzel, set forth in the third-party complaint of March 7, 1996, are dismissed with prejudice.
- 2. Marilyn Dietzel's claims against Dow, set forth in the November 8, 1996 counterclaim, are dismissed with prejudice.
- 3. Dow and Marilyn Dietzel may not recover any costs, expenses, attorneys' fees or other monetary recovery from each other in connection with the claims that are dismissed by this Stipulation and Order, or any other claims arising from the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at and from the Shafter Site alleged in the Dow's complaint and Marilyn Dietzel's counterclaim.
- 4. This Stipulation may be signed in counterpart and facsimiles of signatures, or signatures on a portable document format (pdf) copy of the stipulation, shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 5. The signatories are authorized to sign and bind the parties for whom they are signing.

[Signatures on following page] 010778.0003\1798255.1

	Case 1:96-cv-05879-LJO-DLB	Document 477 Filed 04/08/11 Page 4 of 4		
1	Dated: April 5, 2011	WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEA	N, LLP	
2		/s/ Stephen McKae		
3		Stephen McKae  Attorneys for The Dow Chemical Com	ірапу	
4				
5	Dated: April 5, 2011	LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT PERLM.	AN	
6		/s/		
7		Scott Perlman Attorneys for Marilyn M. Dietzel as		
8		successor to the Estate of Fred R. Bry	ant	
9				
10				
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
12	Dated: <b>April 7, 2011</b>	/s/ Oliver W. Wanger		
13		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	010778.0003\1798255.1	4		
	Stipulation For Mutual Dismissal of Claims and Order (CV-F-96-5879 OWW/ DLB)			